IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CR No.3840 of 2007

DATE OF DECISION: 6.8.2007

Rajnish Kumar **...Petitioner**

VERSUS

Rajinder Singh **...Respondent**

CORAM

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PERMOD KOHLI

PRESENT: Mr.Gagan Pradeep S.Bal, Advocate for petitioners

Permod Kohli,J. (Oral)

This Revision Petition is directed against the order dated 25.4.2007 passed by Additional Civil Judge (Sr.Division), Fatehgarh Sahib whereby the evidence of the plaintiff/petitioner has been closed. From the impugned order, it appears that the plaintiff/petitioner was granted as many as nine opportunities and was even issued dasti summons, but the evidence has not been produced. I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order. However, in the interest of justice, plaintiff/petitioner is allowed one opportunity to produce his evidence. It is stated that only one witness who is said to be Registry Clerk is required to be

produced. The trial court will fix a date for recording the statement of the said witness and dasti summons will be given to the petitioner. It shall be the absolute responsibility of the petitioner to serve the said witness and cause his appearance. If the petitioner fails to do so, the impugned order shall operate. The petitioner shall appear before the trial court on 31.8.2007. The trial court will fix next date for recording of the statement of the said witness of the petitioner.

Disposed of.

(PERMOD KOHLI)
JUDGE

6.8.2007
MFK

NOTE: Whether to be referred to the Reporter or not:YES/NO